



USPSE
SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS
UNIVERSITY OF THE SOUTH PACIFIC

WORKING PAPER

**External Current Account and Domestic Budget Imbalances in Vanuatu:
A Study on Causality Relationships**

T.K. Jayaraman
School of Economics
Faculty of Business and Economics,
The University of the South Pacific

Chee-Keong Choong
Department of Economics
Faculty of Accountancy and Management
Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman
Kajang, Selangor D.E., Malaysia.

No. 2008/19

September 2008

This paper presents work in progress in the School of Economics at USP. Comments, criticisms and enquiries should be addressed to the author.

Copyright © 2008 by the author. All rights reserved.

External Current Account and Domestic Budget Imbalances in Vanuatu: A Study on Causality Relationships

T.K. Jayaraman
School of Economics
Faculty of Business and Economics,
The University of the South Pacific

Chee-Keong Choong
Department of Economics
Faculty of Accountancy and Management
Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman
Malaysia.

Abstract

This paper seeks to investigate connection between current account deficits in the balance of payments and domestic budget deficits in Vanuatu. Based on the results of an empirical analysis of data covering a 23-year period (1983- 2005), this paper concludes that there is strong evidence to support the twin deficit hypothesis in Vanuatu. Based on the findings, the paper suggests required policy measures for correcting the imbalances for consideration by decision makers.

External Current Account and Domestic Budget Imbalances in Vanuatu: A Study on Causality Relationships

T.K. Jayaraman
Chee-Keong Choong

I. Introduction

Vanuatu and other Pacific island countries (PICs), ever since independence in the mid 20th century have been receiving substantial foreign aid each year. Aid inflows have been supportive in several ways. Besides supplementing domestic savings, they were providing budgetary support. Further, aid inflows being in terms of foreign exchange, served as comfortable cushions against pressures of current account deficits on exchange rates, which are caused by persistent trade gaps. The trade gaps have been growing in recent years, since PICs, with narrow range of exports, are dependent on imports of all categories including food, fuel and intermediate and capital goods.

Following the end of the Cold War in the late 1980s, which led to changes in the donors' priorities, there has been a marked decline in aid inflows specifically earmarked for budgetary support. The donors decided to link their assistance increasingly to implementation of structural reforms in PICs. With stagnant revenues and rigidities in public sector expenditures, the fiscal position in PICs deteriorated further. Budget deficits have now become an annual phenomenon. Being open economies, PICs began to experience larger external current account deficits as well, thus giving rise to the emergence of twin deficits.

It is still uncertain whether budget deficit causes current account deficit or vice-versa. Studies conducted in other regions, which examined the possible link between the twin deficits in both developing and developed countries could not reach any consensus. Vanuatu, which is taken up as a case study, has been struggling with twin deficits. The objective of this paper is to examine the short-run temporal causality and long run relationship between external current account deficits and budget deficits in Vanuatu with a view to obtaining better appreciation of causal linkages for formulating appropriate macroeconomic policies.

The paper is organized as follows. The second section gives a brief descriptive account of Vanuatu's economy, analyzing the recent trends in twin deficits experienced by Vanuatu during the last 25 years; the third section reviews in brief the vast amount of literature on the subject; the fourth section outlines the modeling strategy employed for the empirical

study; the fifth section reports the results; and the sixth and final section presents the conclusions with policy implications.

II. Vanuatu's Economy: Trends in Current Account and Budget Imbalances

Formerly known as the New Hebrides, Vanuatu is one of the youngest PICs. Table 1 presents a comparative picture of general key indicators of PICs. Vanuatu comprises an archipelago of about 85 islands located in the Southwest Pacific, approximately 2,300 kilometres off the coast of Australia. The island nation is prone to natural disasters, which include an average of four to seven cyclones annually affecting one part or other of the country and about 2000 earth tremors of varying intensity.

Table 1: Vanuatu among Pacific Islands: Selected Key Indicators

	Population ('000) 2005	Per Capita GDP (Current Prices) in US\$ 2005	Dev Index Ranking 2004	Vulnerability Index Ranking 1997	Aid per capita in US\$ 2005	Aid	
						% of GDP 1990	% of GDP 2002
The Pacific							
Cook Islands	19	2,651	62	NA	490.0	NA	28.0
Fiji	840	2,195	90	9	61.0	3.9	1.8
Fed States of Micronesia	114	2,211	NA	NA	923.0	NA	37.4
Kiribati	90	751	129	NA	191.0	22.5	18.6
Palau	20	6,482	NA	NA	1295.0	NA	NA
Papua New Guinea	5,600	714	139	31	40.0	12.8	7.2
Republic of Marshall Islands	58	2,559	NA	NA	991.0	NA	49.6
Samoa	181	1,672	75	20	186.0	42.6	14.5
Solomon Islands	471	550	129	11	132.0	21.7	11.0
Tonga	101	1,629	55	3	270.0	26.3	16.4
Tuvalu	11	345	118	NA	260.0	47.2	45.0
Vanuatu	215	1,493	119	1	154.0	33.0	11.7

Source: ADB (2004), IMF (2004), Jayaraman (2006), UNESCAP (2004)

The economy of Vanuatu with a total population of 215,000 is dual in nature with subsistence agriculture dominating on all but the two islands of Efate, on which the capital Port Vila is situated and Santo, which has the major port located in Luganville. Vanuatu does not have any kind of direct taxation, individual income or corporate income

tax. Consequently, the offshore financial centre (OFC) institutions, inherited from the colonial past continue to thrive in Port Vila, which has emerged as a pure tax haven attracting financial inflows from high tax friction states to zero tax friction (Jayaraman 1998). Along with a flourishing tourism industry, the urban-oriented Efate, on which all OFC institutions are located did a lot better than other outer islands. Mainly for this reason, there has been a steady rural-urban drift, with rise in squatter settlements in Port Vila. Further, Vanuatu suffers from serious inadequacies in human resource skills to man jobs, both in public services and the private sector, which has led to near permanent dependency on expatriate management and technical services in several areas (Jayaraman 2004).

On the basis of the criteria¹ evolved by the United Nations' Committee on Development Planning, Vanuatu, along with 23 other small island and developing states (SIDS), has been designated since 1971 as the least developed country (LDC) among the developing countries (Encontre 2004). Although on the basis of threshold income level, Vanuatu was found eligible for graduation from LDC status in 1997, it was allowed to retain the LDC status on the grounds of perceived deterioration in the quality of life in Vanuatu following a major the earthquake of 2002, which inflicted severe damages to public and private properties in Port Vila.²

Vanuatu follows a fixed exchange rate regime (IMF 2006). The country's currency *vatu*, is pegged to a transaction-weighted basket of currencies of major trading partners, namely Australia and New Zealand. As the latter have been targeting inflation as their monetary policy objective, Vanuatu's consumer price level has also remained low. There are no exchange controls, either in respect of current account or capital account transactions. Further, citizens and resident expatriates alike are free to keep their bank deposits in any currency of their choice. Thus, the economy of Vanuatu is the most open of all PICs.

Like all other PICs, Vanuatu depends for its foreign exchange earnings on a narrow range of commodities for exports and tourism. The island country's exports include beef, copra, coconut oil, cocoa, timber and *kava*, a traditional root crop, which is used as a beverage. Further, there are many other commonalities shared by Vanuatu with PICs, which include

¹ The criteria currently in operation are: (i) economic vulnerability index (EVI); the Augmented Physical Quality of Life Index (APQLI); and an Economic Vulnerability Index (EVI). Present requirements are: a GDP per capita less than US \$1,035; an APQLI score greater than 64; and an EVI score of less than 34. The earlier (2003) criteria did not include EVI, but included the following: threshold per capita GDP of US \$765; an augmented physical quality of life index of 47; economic classification index of 26; and threshold population of 75 million. As of end 2006, there are 50 countries, which have been designated as LDCs (UN Office of the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries 2006). Twelve of them have also been designated as Small Island and developing states (SIDS). Although eight of them graduated, they were brought back to LDC status due to adverse developments subsequent to their graduation (Delaney 2006).

² Much before the earthquake of 2002, Vanuatu's Prime Minister pleaded in his 1997 address to the UN General Assembly for retention of LDC status. The General Assembly in its resolution: 52/210 of 18 December 1997 withheld the recommendation to graduate Vanuatu (Encontre 2004).

structural constraints to growth: communal land tenure system, which restricts the marketability of land as an economic commodity, thereby inhibiting land related investment activities; isolation from major markets; proneness to natural disasters of all kinds; and external economic shocks.

Despite substantial annual aid inflows, which amounted on an average to about 33 % of GDP during the last two decades, economic performance of Vanuatu has been observed to be weak, with continuing stagnation in per capita income since early 1990s (Jayaraman and Ward 2006, Sugden and Tevi 2004, Gay 2004, UN ESCAP 2004). Annual average rate of growth of about 3% in GDP has not been sufficient enough as population has been growing at almost the same rate. While outer islands have been dependent on subsistence agriculture, the two urban areas depend on the government for jobs, as it has been a major employer in the formal sector. Wages and salaries have been accounting for around 50 to 55% of government's total annual expenditure.

In the first decade (1980-1989) of independence, Vanuatu had to face difficulties of all sorts. These included a rebellion on the outer islands and adjustments requiring streamlining of government functions and bureaucracies inherited from the combined Anglo-French condominium rule, besides the impact of annual occurrence of natural disasters of cyclones. As foreign grants, which in 1980 accounted for 80% of government expenditure fell to 21% in 1989, the government had to cut down the much needed operation and maintenance expenditure of existing assets including roads and ports for accommodating emerging development expenditures. The efforts to raise revenue through increase in user fees and charges as well as import duties proved inadequate, as the government continued its policy of no direct taxation on personal and corporate incomes. The annual overall budget position of surpluses of the earlier years became negative in later years of the first decade of the country's independence. The budget deficit in 1989 was 9% of GDP as against a budget surplus of 6% in 1984. For financing fiscal deficits, the government had to resort to domestic borrowing, including monetisation of the deficit by Reserve Bank of Vanuatu, when the latter was forced to pick up the unsold bonds (Jayaraman 1995).

In the second decade (1990-1999) of independence, Vanuatu resorted to substantial external borrowing, although on concessional terms, from Asian Development Bank for undertaking development projects. Further, it resorted to a large-scale domestic borrowing for purchase of an aircraft for the government owned Air Vanuatu with a view to promoting tourism. Although budget deficit levels were reduced in the first half of the 1990s, by introduction of turnover taxes and streamlining of other indirect tax measures, political instability and frequent changes in governments had their own toll on public finances. In the second half of the 1990s, mismanagement of pension funds by the state sponsored Vanuatu National Provident Fund (VNPF) and subsequent efforts to bail out VNPF came in the way of reducing the deficit to a sizeable extent. Fiscal consolidation efforts towards containing fiscal deficits were frequently offset by discretionary exemptions and unforeseen expenditures, which were often approved by supplementary budget appropriation bills in the parliament. As a result, in 1998, the budget deficit climbed to 6.7% of GDP. Measures to finance fiscal deficits led to a rise in public debt,

which shot up to 33% of GDP in 1998 from 17% in 1988. In 1998, with loan assistance of \$20 million from Asian Development Bank, the government began to implement a Comprehensive Reform Programme over a three-year period towards improving economic and financial management.

During 2000-2005, fiscal performance improved with the successful introduction of VAT (Browne 2006). Better expenditure control, improvements in budgeting and pruning of non-essential expenditures reduced budget deficits from the previous high levels. In fact, there was a small budget surplus in 2004 of about 1% of GDP. Total public debt, domestic and external, has been kept under the mandated level of 40% of GDP. However, fears were expressed that fiscal consolidation was being achieved through compression of spending on social services and physical infrastructure, such as roads and jetties in outer islands, which could hamper medium-term growth (Creane 2006).

In regard to external accounts, Vanuatu's trade deficit has been generally high. Lack of a significant manufacturing base has been largely responsible for its dependence on imports of all consumer goods. Primary processing of local raw materials is confined to production of copra-based products, including oil and coconut cream and detergents. Since the country does not produce any staple except traditional root crops, wheat flour and rice dominate food imports, along with edible oils and beverages. All intermediate and capital goods, transport and machinery are imported.

In the 1980s, four major agriculture products, copra, cattle (beef), cocoa and *kava*, once referred to as "four Cs", were the exportable commodities, which were the mainstay of cash incomes in the rural sector to pay for children's education and medicines, kerosene and other consumer goods. Copra is marketed by the state owned Vanuatu Commodities Marketing Board, while exports of cocoa, coffee and *kava* are handled by the private sector. These products along with vegetables and fruits, mainly for domestic consumption, contribute 15% of the gross domestic product (GDP). In the 1980s, copra accounted for 35% of total exports, while shares of beef and cocoa were 6% and 4%. Annual cyclones, which uproot many of the agricultural crops, severely affect steady growth.

With the emergence of timber exports, exports of cocoa and coffee receded into the background. In recent years, timber accounted for 11% of total exports, copra 31% and beef 9%. Other exports include minerals and handicrafts. With most of the exports being primary agricultural exports competing with those of other island economies, they happen to form a small proportion of total world trade and hence Vanuatu is a price taker. Consequently, export earnings are subject to the effects of a high degree of variation in world prices. Since 1997, copra and cocoa prices have fallen considerably. On the other hand, prices of most manufactured goods and other strategic imports, including fuel have been on the rise. The resultant effects of high variability in terms of trade are reflected in high volatility in export earnings.

A study by Yari (2003) showed that volatility in export earnings had adverse effects on Vanuatu. The instability measure of exports earnings (average percentage deviation of

export earnings from the exponential trend level for 1998-2000) for Vanuatu was 21.5 %, which is higher than that of other PICs: that of Nauru (20 %), Papua New Guinea (18 %), Solomon Islands (17 %) and Fiji (14 %). Aside from the negative effects of the terms of trade, production levels of the commodity exports themselves have also been fluctuating. Frequent cyclones resulting in uprooting of crops have been the main reason for these variations in output. Damages to farm and hinterland roads linking marketing centres and harbours and jetties in remote islands as well as delays in the restoration of links adversely affect exports and rural incomes.

Tourism earnings, on the other hand, which were on the rise due to establishing of new air links in the 1990s, gave a big support to meet the import deficits. Nearly 60% of total foreign exchange earnings are contributed by tourism, while OFC institutions contribute about 12% of GDP³. However, since most of the tourists preferred the two urban centres, little of the tourism dollar trickled down to rural communities in other islands. In 1998 and the subsequent two years, Vanuatu suffered heavy loss in tourism earnings due to civil unrest in the country. A hefty rise in tourist arrivals in 2000 reversed the trend but with the 9/11-terror attack of 2001 in the USA, tourism had another setback. In addition, the consequent recessionary conditions in the industrialized countries have also had a negative influence on tourism earnings. Added to these unforeseen circumstances, annual cyclones and frequent tremors have taken their own toll on resort hotels and other tourist facilities.

Table 2: Vanuatu: Budget and Current Account Deficits: 1983-2005

Year	Budget Deficit (% of GDP)	Current Deficit (% of GDP)	Trade Deficit (% of GDP)	M2 (% of GDP)	Inflation (%)	Growth Rate (%)
1983-1990 (Ave)	2.3	17.3	35.4	92.8	6.4	1.3
1991-1995 (Ave)	3.5	8.0	26.1	107.9	3.5	6.7
1996-2000 (Ave)	4.1	8.3	19.8	106.1	2.5	1.6
2001	3.7	6.2	24.7	104.4	3.4	-2.7
2002	2.1	-11.2	24.7	107.0	2.2	-4.9
2003	1.8	10.4	23.4	102.5	3.1	2.9
2004	-1.2	12.2	26.1	107.0	1.4	3.5
2005	0.3	6.1	26.2	109.0	2.6	3.1

Source: Asian Development Bank (2006)

³ The services sector of Vanuatu is marked by a significant presence of offshore financial centres. Absence of direct taxation of any kind in respect of incomes and profits earned by citizens of Vanuatu and residents and non-residents alike has made Vanuatu a pure tax haven, attracting funds from industrialized nations (Jayaraman 1998). However, its contribution to GDP has been observed to be on the decline, especially after the European Union and the USA, in the wake of the 2001 terror attack, successfully persuaded Vanuatu to streamline the legislation as well as tighten surveillance measures to control money laundering activities in recent years (Fossen 2002).

Unlike other PICs, Vanuatu does not receive sizeable inward remittances from its citizens resident overseas. With no other service incomes, tourism earnings alone largely influence the current account balance of external accounts. Until the end of the 1990s, annual current account deficits have been around 2% of GDP, except in 1999 when it was about 5% of GDP. After some surpluses in 2001 and 2002, the current account deficit has been on the rise. Table 2 provides details of budget and external deficits during the period under study.

III. Linking Budget Deficit to Current Account Deficit: A Brief Literature Survey

A survey of studies on the linkages between current account deficits in the balance of payments and budget deficits begins with standard treatment of external current account deficits which is based on the national accounting identity (Daniel, *et al.* 2006).

The national accounting identity is:

$$Y = C_{pub} + C_{priv} + I_{priv} + I_{pub} + (X - M) \quad (1)$$

Where Y = national output

C_{pub} = Consumption by public sector
 C_{priv} = Consumption by private sector
 I_{priv} = private sector investment
 I_{pub} = public sector investment
X = exports of goods and services
M = imports of goods and services

Manipulation of (1) would yield

$$X - M = Y - \{C_{pub} + C_{priv} + I_{priv} + I_{pub}\} \quad (2)$$

Since X-M is external current account balance, we write

$$CA = (S_{priv} + S_{pub}) - (I_{priv} + I_{pub}) \quad (2a)$$

Where

CA = external current account balance;
 S_{priv} = private sector savings
 S_{pub} = public sector saving

By manipulation of (2a), we obtain

$$CA = (S_{priv} - I_{priv}) + (S_{pub} - I_{pub}) \quad (3)$$

While $(S_{\text{priv}} - I_{\text{priv}})$ is the private savings and investment balance, $(S_{\text{pub}} - I_{\text{pub}})$ represents the fiscal balance. Assuming private savings/investment remained stable overtime, external current account balance would be equal to overall fiscal balance. Alternately, external current account deficit would be equal to budget deficit. This identity provides a basis for modelling the hypothesised long run relationship between current account deficits and budget deficits. However, we do not have any indication of the direction of linkages, both behavioural and temporal.

Under fixed exchange regime in the Johnson's (1972) monetary approach to balance of payments model with or without capital mobility, any excess domestic absorption, with private investment and savings gap being stable, excess government expenditure over its revenues would spill into excess demand for overseas goods and services, resulting in trade/current account deficit. Under freely floating regimes, with either partial or free capital mobility in the Mundell-Fleming open economy model, there is interaction between budget deficit and trade/current account deficit directly through domestic absorption and indirectly through monetary channels. As budget deficit rises, aggregate demand would increase and domestic interest rate would also rise; and if the domestic rate is higher than world interest rate there will be a capital inflow, resulting in the rise of real exchange rate; exports would fall; and trade balance/current account balance would deteriorate. Thus, our modelling strategy has to incorporate both real and monetary variables.

A review of past empirical studies on both developed and developing countries shows conflicting results. These studies used either trade deficits or current account (taking into account net earnings from services including tourism and transfers, official and private including remittances) deficits depending upon the country circumstances. Studies by Chen and Haug (1993), Evans (1988, 1993), Evans and Hasan (1994) on the US and Canadian economies concluded that there was an absence of linkage between budget and external deficits. Their conclusion indicated the possibility of existence of Ricardian Equivalence proposition on the ground that economic agents anticipate that budget deficits would be financed by increase in future tax rates; accordingly, they would adjust consumption towards maximising the inter-temporal welfare by increasing current savings rather than current consumption; and thus there would be no effect on domestic interest rates, total savings, investment, price level and income. Earlier study by Normandin (1994), however, showed that Ricardian equivalence proposition could be rejected for the Canadian economy but not for the US economy. Darrat (1988) in his study on the US economy noted the existence of bi-directional causality between two deficits.

Laney (1984) in his study of 58 countries observed the presence of causal linkage running from fiscal balance to external balance in the case of developing countries, which was absent in the case of developed countries. Similarly, Khalid and Guan (1999) noted the existence of a long run-cointegrating relationship between fiscal and trade deficits in selected developing countries while recognizing the absence of such relationship in developed countries.

Thus, we note the evidence collected by empirical studies is inconclusive. The results differed across countries. Further, they varied more significantly when the researchers employed different econometric techniques with different model specification for the same country data (Onafowara and Owoye 2006). Past studies utilised models with variables representing domestic absorption, which included industrial production index and variables to represent monetary influences, which included interest rate and real exchange rate.

IV. Modelling Strategy

While focusing our attention on Vanuatu, we observe the island nation like all other PICs, suffers from serious data deficiencies. Further, the time span of data series of Vanuatu, as an independent nation from 1980, is also limited. The national income data have been compiled only from 1983 onwards with technical assistance from Asian Development Bank. Hence, our study covering a 23-year period (1983-2005), uses the available data series. Since Vanuatu depends heavily upon tourism earnings for foreign exchange, besides earnings from traditional export crops, we take up current account deficits, rather than trade deficits. Our model, incorporating the real and monetary variables, therefore remains simple and is written as:

$$CAD = f(RGDP, BD, M2) \quad (1)$$

where

CAD = Current account deficit (% of GDP);
RGDP = real GDP (index number); and
BD = budget deficit (% of GDP);
M2 = broad money supply (% of GDP)

RGDP represents domestic absorption and *M2* as % of GDP captures monetary influences, which would include changes in interest rate and inflation and the consequent changes in real exchange rate⁴, affecting trade volume. The data series are drawn from a single source, namely Asian Development Bank (2006).

We employ bounds testing approach developed by Pesaran, *et al* (2001) to examine the existence of any relationship between current account deficit and budget deficit. This approach has assumed great importance because in recent years the stationarity properties of the series and finite sample performance of this testing procedure have increasingly

⁴ Real exchange rate (RER) is the ratio of price of non tradables to price of tradables and is denoted as p_n/p_t . However, for empirical application purposes, RER is the product of nominal exchange rate (NER) and the ratio of domestic price (p_d) and foreign price (p_f) levels. It is represented as: $RER = NER (p_d/p_f)$. There is vast amount of literature on the subject (Williamson 1995). For derivation of RER in steps, see Jayaraman (1997).

proven to be superior to that of cointegration procedure by Johansen (1988, 1991), Johansen and Juselius (1990). It is also well recognized that the traditional F-test for Granger non-causality is not valid when the variables are cointegrated and the test statistic does not follow its own distribution; and in this case, error correction models (ECMs) may be used instead (Granger 1988). However, if the variables are not integrated of the same order or are not cointegrated, ECM cannot be applied. In addition, possibly severe pre-test biases in ECM may exist, especially for finite samples. To overcome these problems, an autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model proposed by Pesaran, *et al.* (2001) might be applied.

Following Pesaran, *et al.* (2001), we form the vector autoregression (VAR) of order p (VAR(p)) for the twin deficit model:

$$Z_t = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i Z_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

where Z_t is the vector of both X_t and Y_t , where Y_t is the dependent variable (CAD) and X_t is the vector matrix represents a set of explanatory variables (RGDP, BD and M2). $\mu = [\mu_Y, \mu_X]'$, t is a time or trend variable, and β_i is a matrix of VAR parameters for lag i . According to Pesaran, *et al.* (2001), the dependent variable must be I(1) variable, but the regressors, or explanatory variables can be either I(0) or I(1).

We can further develop a Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) as follows:

$$\Delta Z_t = \mu + \alpha t + \lambda Z_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_i Y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \gamma_i X_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta = 1 - L$ and $\alpha = [\alpha_Y, \alpha_X]$. We partition the long-run multiplier matrix as follows:

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{YY} & \lambda_{YX} \\ \lambda_{XY} & \lambda_{XX} \end{bmatrix}$$

The diagonal elements of the matrix are unrestricted, so the selected series can be either I(0) or I(1). If $\lambda_{YY} = 0$, then Y is I(1). In contrast, if $\lambda_{YY} < 0$, then Y is I(0).

The VECM procedures described above are important in testing of at most, one cointegrating vector between dependent variable (Y_t) and a set of regressors (X_t). Further, following the assumptions made (unrestricted intercepts and no trends) and restrictions imposed ($\lambda_{XY} = 0, \mu \neq 0$ and $\alpha = 0$) by Pesaran, *et al.* (2001) in Case III, we re-formulate Equation (3) to derive the following Unrestricted Error Correction Model (UECM) to examine the long run relationship between budget deficit and current account deficit.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta CAD_t = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 CAD_{t-1} + \beta_2 RGDP_{t-1} + \beta_3 BD_{t-1} + \beta_4 M2_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_5 \Delta CAD_{t-i} \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^p \beta_6 \Delta RGDP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^p \beta_7 \Delta BD_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^p \beta_8 \Delta M2_{t-i} + u_t \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where u_t is the white noise error term; Δ is the first difference operator; and p is lag structure, which is determined by Akaike's information criterion.

There are two steps in testing the cointegration relationship between CAD and its explanatory variables. First, we estimate Equation (4) by ordinary least square (OLS) technique. Second, we examine the long run relationship by imposing the restriction that all estimated coefficients of lagged one level variables are equal to zero. That is, the null hypothesis is $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$. In order to test the null hypothesis, following Pesaran, *et al.* (2001), we apply either standard Wald test or F -statistic, which has a non-standard distribution that depends on few factors such as sample size, the inclusion of intercept and trend variable in the estimation, and number of regressors. If the F -statistic obtained from the restriction is less than lower bound critical value, we do not reject the null hypothesis of no long run relationship. In contrast, if the computed F -statistic is greater than upper bound critical value, then we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there appears steady state long run equilibrium between the variables under study. However, if the F -statistic falls within lower and upper bound critical values, then the results are inconclusive and the stationarity properties of the series must be examined and investigated.

Narayan (2005) argues that the use of Pesaran, *et al.*'s (2001) critical values for small sample study may produce misleading results because the critical values calculated are generally lower than those generated by Narayan who used similar GAUSS code used by Pesaran, *et al.* (2001). Narayan (2005) has generated a new set of critical values ranging from 30 to 80 observations. Since the sample size in our study is small (that is, 25 observations) and as the critical values provided by Pesaran, *et al.* (2001) are calculated on the basis of large sample sizes of 500 and 1000 observations and 2000 and 40000 replications respectively, we propose to use the critical values provided by Narayan (2005).

Once the variables are found to be cointegrated, the next step is to use a parsimonious vector error-correction model to estimate the short-run dynamic causality relationship. Equation (3) can now be constructed into a vector error-correction model (VECM) in order to capture both short- and long-run impact of the vector. Defining Z_t as the vector of the potentially endogenous variables, we can model Z_t as an unrestricted vector autoregression (VAR) model with lag-length up to 3⁵:

$$Z_t = A_1 Z_{t-1} + A_2 Z_{t-2} + A_3 Z_{t-3} + U_t \quad \text{where } U_t \sim IN(0, \sigma) \quad (5)$$

where Z_t is (4 x 1) vector consists of *CAD*, *RGDP*, *BD* and *M2*. Each of the A_i is (4 x 4) matrix of parameters. The 4-VAR model as stated in Equation (5) will be used if there is no long run relationship to be found in the bounds testing approach.

⁵ The maximum lag length up to 3 is suggested as the frequency of the data is annual and there are only 25 observations in the study.

However, if there is a cointegration relationship, then the following vector error correction will be applied to examine the long- and short-run causality between variables.

$$\Delta Z_t = \Gamma_1 \Delta Z_{t-1} + \Gamma_2 \Delta Z_{t-2} + \Pi Z_{t-3} + U_t \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta Z_t = [CAD, RGDP, BD \text{ and } M2]'$, $\Gamma_1 = -(I - A_1)$, $\Gamma_2 = -(I - A_1 - A_2)$ and $\Pi = -(I - A_1 - A_2 - A_3)$. Γ_i measures the short-run effect of the changes in Z_t . The (4 x 4) matrix of $\Pi (= \alpha\beta')$ contains both speed of adjustment to disequilibrium (α) and the long-run information (β) such that the term $\beta'Z_{t-3}$ embedded in Equation (6) represents the ($n-1$) cointegrating vector in the model.

Accordingly, we can re-state the Equation (6) as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta CAD_t \\ \Delta RGDP_t \\ \Delta BD_t \\ \Delta M2_t \end{bmatrix} = \Gamma_1 \begin{bmatrix} \Delta CAD_{t-1} \\ \Delta RGDP_{t-1} \\ \Delta BD_{t-1} \\ \Delta M2_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \Gamma_2 \begin{bmatrix} \Delta CAD_{t-2} \\ \Delta RGDP_{t-2} \\ \Delta BD_{t-2} \\ \Delta M2_{t-2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \alpha_{23} \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} \\ \alpha_{41} & \alpha_{42} & \alpha_{43} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{21} & \beta_{31} & \beta_{41} \\ \beta_{12} & \beta_{22} & \beta_{32} & \beta_{42} \\ \beta_{13} & \beta_{23} & \beta_{33} & \beta_{43} \end{bmatrix}' \times \begin{bmatrix} CAD_{t-3} \\ RGDP_{t-3} \\ BD_{t-3} \\ M2_{t-3} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

There are two steps involved in the estimation of error-correction model (ECM). First, we identify the unique long-run relationship based on theory that represents the economic relationship underlying the long run model among *CAD*, *RGDP*, *BD* and *M2*. Secondly, we estimate the short-run model within the VECM to find out the short run causal relationship. The short run model is of interest since we can study the behaviour of each variable in the estimated system in response to the residual from the cointegrating equation (error-correction term - ECT). The ECT measures the speed of adjustment of each variable in response to a deviation from the steady state equilibrium relationship. Since the objective of the study is to examine the causality relationship between budget deficit and current account deficit, the two equations are derived from Equation (7) as follows:

$$\Delta CAD_t = \beta_1 ECT_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j \Delta CAD_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^k \tau_j \Delta BD_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \Delta Y_{t-j} + u_{1t} \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta BD_t = \beta_2 ECT_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^k \phi_j \Delta CAD_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^k \delta_j \Delta BD_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j \Delta Y_{t-j} + u_{2t} \quad (9)$$

where ECT_{t-1} is the one-period lagged error correction term. Y_t is the vector comprising $RGDP$ and $M2$, and u_{1t} and u_{2t} are white noise error terms. In these two equations, budget deficit and current account deficit are cointegrated when at least one of the coefficients β_1 or β_2 is not zero. In that case, two series will display long-run relationship. If $\beta_1 \neq 0$ and $\beta_2 = 0$, we conclude that budget deficit Granger causes current account deficit in the long run. On the other hand, if $\beta_2 \neq 0$ and $\beta_1 = 0$, current account deficit will Granger cause budget deficit. If both β_1 and β_2 are nonzero, the conclusion then is that there exists a feedback relationship between budget deficit and current account deficit in the long-run.

Table 3: Six Possible Testable Hypotheses between Budget Deficit (BD) and Current Account Deficit (CAD)

Granger Causality Test	Testable Hypotheses	Description
$H_{BD \rightarrow CAD}^{ST}$ (No ST linkage)	$\tau_j = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, k)$	BD does not Granger Cause CAD in the short-term
$H_{BD \rightarrow CAD}^{LT}$ (No LT linkage)	$\beta_1 = 0$	BD does not Granger Cause CAD in the long-term
$H_{BD \rightarrow CAD}^{NO}$ (No ST or LT linkages)	$\beta_1 = 0$ and $\tau_j = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, k)$	BD does not Granger Cause CAD in the short- and long-term
$H_{CAD \rightarrow BD}^{ST}$ (No ST linkage)	$\phi_i = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$	CAD does not Granger Cause BD in the short-term
$H_{CAD \rightarrow BD}^{LT}$ (No LT linkage)	$\beta_2 = 0$	CAD does not Granger Cause BD in the long-term
$H_{CAD \rightarrow BD}^{NO}$ (No ST or LT linkages)	$\beta_2 = 0$ and $\phi_i = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$	CAD does not Granger Cause BD in the short- and long-term

The short-run relationships between budget deficit and current account deficit are signified by the coefficients τ_j 's and ϕ 's. If τ_j 's are not all zero, movements in budget deficit will cause current account deficit in the short-run. If ϕ 's are not all zero, movements in current account deficit will cause budget deficit in the short-run. The short-run as well as long-run dynamic causality relationships between budget deficit and current account deficit can be assessed by forming hypotheses and testing them on the estimated coefficients in the equations (8) and (9). In general, six possible testable hypotheses concerning the short-run and long-run influence of budget deficit on current account deficit ($BD_t \rightarrow CAD_t$) and current account deficit on budget deficit ($CAD_t \rightarrow BD_t$) can be formulated. These are summarized in Table 3.

These individual hypotheses can be tested using standard F -tests on the estimated coefficients of the error-correction model. The six hypotheses are used to examine the lead-lag and feedback relationships between budget deficit and current account deficit as well as other variables.

V. Empirical Results

Before proceeding with the cointegration analysis, we conducted unit root tests in regard to time series of the variables employed in the study, although the bounds testing procedure does not require the same order of integration. In Table 4, we report the results of three types of unit root tests: (i) the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF), (ii) the modified non-parametric Phillips-Perron unit root test by Ng and Perron (2001), known as MZa test; and (iii) the KPSS test, which relies on the null hypothesis that the series under study is an $I(0)$ stationary process. Based on the results reported in Table 4, we found that CAD, RGDP, BD and M2 are integrated at different order, either $I(0)$ or $I(1)$ process, depending on the use of the unit root tests. Obviously, under such conditions of mixed integrated order conditions of variables, the use of cointegration procedures such as Johansen (1988, 1991) and Johansen and Juselius (1990) are not appropriate

Table 4: Results of Unit Root Tests (Sample Period: 1985-2005)

Variables	ADF Test		Ng and Perron Test, MZa		KPSS Test	
	Level (Constant with Trend)	First Difference (Constant without Trend)	Level (Constant with Trend)	First Difference (Constant without Trend)	Level (Constant with Trend)	First Difference (Constant without Trend)
CAD	-3.156(0)	-6.711*(0)	-9.852(0)	-9.118*(0)	0.133*(2)	0.194 (6)
RGDP	-3.714*(3)	-3.630*(0)	-93.904(3)	-10.081*(0)	0.096(3)	0.115 (1)
BD	-3.215(0)	-6.547*(0)	-10.127(0)	-9.353*(0)	0.092(2)	0.086 (3)
M2	-3.537(0)	-5.975*(0)	-8.258(0)	-9.441*(0)	0.171*(3)	0.306 (10)

Note: The ADF critical value at 5% level is -2.9640 and -3.5629 for constant without trend and constant with trend regressions, respectively. These critical values are based on Mckinnon. The optimal lag is selected on the basis of Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The Ng and Perron critical value is based on Ng and Perron (2001) critical value and the optimal lag is selected based on Spectral GLS-detrended AR based on SIC. The null hypothesis of the test is: a series has a unit root. The KPSS critical value is based on KPSS (1992, Table 1) and the optimal lag is selected based on Newey-West using Bartlett kernel. The null hypothesis of the KPSS test is: a series is stationary. The asterisk * denotes the rejection of the null hypothesis at the 5% level of significance. The figures in brackets denote number of lags. CAD = current account deficit; RGDP = real GDP; BD = budget deficit; M2 = broad money supply.

The results of the unrestricted error correction model (UECM) adopted under bounds testing procedure are shown in Table 5. The empirical finding in Table 5 provides strong evidence in favour of cointegration between BD and CAD in Vanuatu. The calculated F -statistic of CAD equation (23.16) is statistically significant at 1% level. Hence, the null hypothesis of no cointegration relationship is rejected. On the other hand, the calculated F -statistic in the equations of ED , BD and EXP is respectively smaller than the respective lower bound value (either using both Pesaran, *et al.*'s (2001) or Narayan's (2005) critical

values), thus leading us to conclude that there is only one cointegration equation. Our finding is in line with the findings of Enders and Lee (1990), Alse and Bahmani-Oskoe (1992), Biswas *et al.* (1992), Tanner and Liu (1994), Khalid (1996), Khalid and Guan (1999) and Kouassi, et al. (2004).

Table 5: Bound Test for Cointegration Analysis

Dependent Variable		Computed F-statistic		
CAD		26.13***		
RGDP		2.89		
BD		1.95		
M2		1.23		
Critical Value	Pesaran et al. (2001) ^a		Narayan (2005) ^b	
	Lower bound value	Upper bound value	Lower bound value	Upper bound value
1 per cent	3.41	4.68	4.54	6.37
5 per cent	2.62	3.79	3.13	4.44
10 per cent	2.26	3.35	2.58	3.86

^a Critical values are obtained from Pesaran, et al. (2001), Table CI(iii) Case III: Unrestricted intercept and no trend, p. 300.

^b Critical values are obtained from Narayan (2005), Table case III: unrestricted intercept and no trend, p. 1988.

*** indicates significance at 1% level.

The estimated equation for current account deficit as dependent variable is shown as follows:

$$CAD = -5.186 + 0.004RGDP^* + 5.978BD^{**} + 4.373M2^{**} \quad (10)$$

(-6.55)
(3.96)
(6.05)
(6.68)

Note: * and ** indicate significance at 5% and 1% levels. Figures in parentheses are calculated “t” values.

The results indicate that real GDP, budget deficit and money supply have a significant positive impact on the current account deficit. Of the three explanatory variables, it is found that in terms of the magnitudes of the coefficients, budget deficit has the maximum influence on current account deficit (5.978), followed by money supply (4.373) and real GDP (0.004). Our findings are in accordance with theoretical expectations that domestic absorption, budget deficit and money supply are positively associated with CAD.

Table 6: Diagnostic Tests for Equation (10)

Diagnostic Test	Null Hypothesis	Equation (10)
Jarque-Bera test	H ₀ : Normality of error term	$\chi^2 = 0.8728$ [0.6463]
Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test	H ₀ : No autocorrelation	F(1) = 1.4226 [0.4442]
ARCH Test	H ₀ : Homoskedasticity	F(1) = 0.0003 [0.9854]
Ramsey RESET Test	H ₀ : The model is correctly specified	F(2) = 0.0088 [0.9403]

Note: Figures in square brackets are probability values of the test statistics. Figures in parentheses are the lag lengths used for the appropriate diagnostic tests.

Various diagnostic tests – tests of normality, autocorrelation, heteroskedasticity in the error term and misspecification error – were conducted to examine the validity and reliability of the long-run regression models. The results of the tests are summarized in Table 6. We do not reject the null hypotheses of no autocorrelation, the error terms being normally distributed and homoskedastic. The RESET test indicates that the model is correctly specified.

Table 7: Summary of Temporal Causality Results based on Parsimonious Vector Error-correction Model (PVECM)

Dependent Variable	F-statistic				ECT (t-statistics)
	ΔCAD	$\Delta RGDP$	ΔBD	$\Delta M2$	
ΔCAD	-	5.26*	24.96***	10.51**	-0.0723** (-3.23)
$\Delta RGDP$	1.24	-	1.23	1.50	-0.9777 (-0.14)
ΔBD	15.91***	3.58*	-	4.89**	-0.0082 (-0.49)
$\Delta M2$	0.13	0.10	0.51	-	-0.0213 (-0.26)

Note: *, ** and *** indicate significance at 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively. Figures in parentheses are calculated t-statistics.

Next, we perform Granger-causality tests on the basis of a parsimonious vector error correction model (PVECM). The Granger causality results are reported in Table 7. For the equation with *CAD* as dependent variable, the coefficient on the error correction term is negative and significant at 5% level. A significant error correction term (ECT) is an indicative of long-run causality running from *RGDP*, *BD* and *M2* to *CAD*. However, none of the error correction terms in the remaining equations is found significant, indicating absence of evidence of any long-run causality running respectively from the relevant variables to *RGDP*, *BD* or *M2*. Thus, we have only one long run causality link connecting real GDP, budget deficit and money supply to current account deficit, which confirms the result of only one cointegration equation obtained from the bound testing approach. In the short-run, however, we observe the existence of a bi-directional causality between *CAD* and *BD*.

VI. Summary and Conclusions

This paper examined whether there was any long-term relationship between external current account and budget deficits in Vanuatu by conducting an empirical investigation of the data covering a 23-year period (1983-2005). Cointegration analysis of four variables, namely budget deficit, external current account deficit, domestic absorption and money supply was undertaken by adopting the bounds testing procedure in an ARDL

framework. The empirical findings confirmed the twin deficit hypothesis in Vanuatu. However, the long run linkage runs only in one direction, which is from budget deficit to external current account deficit. In addition to the presence of a positive relationship in the long run between the two, the results show that domestic absorption and money supply positively affect external current account deficits. Among the three variables, budget deficit has the maximum impact on external current account deficit. Besides confirming the presence of only long-run unidirectional causality running from budget deficit to current account deficit, the Granger causality tests also revealed the existence in the short-run of a bi-directional causality between current account deficits and budget deficits.

The results point to the direction in which Vanuatu should now move both in the short- and long runs. The policy implications are clear. In the short-run, supply elasticities of Vanuatu's exports, being mostly agricultural products, are likely to be very low and hence exports cannot be increased in the short run. Hence, the only remedy seems to be fiscal adjustment, which is needed for facilitating external adjustment for reducing domestic absorption. Fiscal adjustment would support adjustment in external current account in yet another way. This is through its favourable impact on real exchange rate. Reduction in government spending will tend to depreciate the real exchange rate by reducing the demand for non-tradables, thereby increasing the relative profitability of the tradable sector (Daniel *et al.* 2006). Since Vanuatu's exports, being agricultural products are supply inelastic in the short run, it is more likely that fall in real exchange rate would benefit tourism earnings rather than commodity exports. However, Daniel *et al.* (2006) sound a warning against any reliance on devaluation of the currency, as a remedy to correct the external imbalance without correcting the fiscal disequilibria, since the outcome of any devaluation would be inflation. It would be an undesirable outcome resulting in rise in real exchange rate, hurting exports.

As part of medium term measures over the three to five year period, Vanuatu has to vigorously pursue fiscal consolidation (Jayaraman 2006). The measures of fiscal consolidation, which aim at reducing public sector deficits and accumulation of public debt, include the following: raising taxes; reduction in public expenditures, public employment, subsidies and transfers, consumption of goods and services and discretionary exemptions from taxes and fees and charges; and improving the performance of state owned enterprises in term of higher dividends. Fiscal consolidation would contribute to fostering fiscal discipline. The latter would in turn lead to greater fiscal flexibility, which is capability to run budget surpluses in good years and deficits in not so good years, as countercyclical expenditures. In the absence of a firm commitment to foster fiscal discipline, there is always the danger that fiscal consolidation objectives are likely to be sacrificed in the immediate after-impact of a major economic shock such as fall in terms of trade or rise in oil price. Policymakers will do well to keep in mind that fiscal flexibility cannot be achieved without fiscal discipline.

In the light of the findings of a recent study on aid effectiveness in Vanuatu (Jayaraman and Ward 2006), that exports positively contributed to growth, resources saved by fiscal consolidation efforts should be redirected into productive investments. These will include

productive investments such as roads for connecting farms and hinterland to market centres and jetties in remote isolated islands for speedy movement of exportable agricultural products, thereby improving the country's trade balance.

Finally, Vanuatu's policy makers are aware that freer trade in goods and services envisaged under the Pacific Island Countries Trade Agreement (PICTA) which would usher in a free trade among PICs by 2010, would require phased reduction in/removal of trade taxes. In these circumstances, indirect taxation can no longer be relied upon in the future as the mainstay of revenue as was in the past. Any delay in introducing direct taxation would only lead to larger fiscal imbalances.

References

- Alse J, Bahmani-Oskooee M (1992) "Are the Twin Deficits Really Related? A Comment", *Contemporary Policy Issues*, 10:108–111.
- Asian Development Bank (ADB) (2004) *Key Indicators of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries 2005*, Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- Asian Development Bank (ADB) (2006) *Key Indicators of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries 2004*, Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- Biswas B, G. Tribedy. P. Saunders P (1992) "Further Analysis of the Twin Deficits". *Contemporary Policy* 10:104–108.
- Browne, C. (2006) (ed.). *Pacific Island Economies*, Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- Chen, B. and A.A. Haug (1993). "The Twin Deficits Hypothesis: Empirical Evidence for Canada" Mimeograph, York University.
- Creane, S. (2006). "Vanuatu", Chapter 10 in C. Brown (ed.) *Pacific Island Economies*, Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- Daniel, J., J. Davis, M.Fouhad, and C.van Rijckeghem (2006). *Fiscal Adjustment for Stability and Growth*, Pamphlet Series No: 35. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- Darrat (1988). "Have Large Budget Deficits Caused Rising Trade Deficits?", *Southern Economic Journal*, 54: 879-887.
- Delaney, A.V. (2006). "Importance of being Least Developed", *Islands Business*, July: 42-43.
- Encontre, P. (2004). "Economic Vulnerability of Small Island Developing States: The United Nations Approach", Chapter 4 in L. Briguglio and E. Kisanga (eds.). *Economic Vulnerability and Resilience of Small States*, Malta and London: University of Malta and Commonwealth Secretariat: 72-112.
- Enders R.F, and Lee B.S (1990) "Current Account and Budget Deficits: Twins or Distant Cousins?", *Review of Economics and Statistics* 72:373–381.
- Evans, P. (1988). "Are Consumers Ricardians? Evidence for the United States", *Journal of Political Economy*, 96: 983-1004.
- Evans, P. (1993). "Consumers are not Ricardian: Evidence from Nineteen Countries" *Economic Inquiry*, 31: 534-548.

- Evans, P. and L. Hasan (1994). "Are Consumers Ricardian? Evidence for Canada", *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 34: 35-40.
- Fossen, A. (2002). "Offshore Financial Centre and Internal Development in the Pacific Islands", *Pacific Economic Bulletin*, 17(1), 38-62.
- Gay, D. (2004). "The Emperor's Tailor: An Assessment of Vanuatu's Comprehensive Program", *Pacific Economic Bulletin*, 19(3): 22-35.
- Granger, C.W.J. (1988). "Some recent developments in a concept of causality", *Journal of Econometrics* 39: 199-211.
- International Monetary Fund (IMF) (2006). *Annual Report on Exchange Rate Regimes and Control*, Washington, DC: IMF.
- IMF (2004). *International Financial Statistics Yearbook 2004*, Washington, DC: IMF.
- Jayaraman, T.K. and B. Ward (2006). "Aid Effectiveness in a Vulnerable Economy: A Case Study of Vanuatu", *Asia-Pacific Development Journal*, 6(2): 92-112.
- Jayaraman, T.K. (2006). "Macroeconomic Reform and Resilience Building in Small States", in L. Briguglio, G. Cordina and E. Kisanga (eds.), *Building the Economic Resilience of Small States*, Malta: Islands and Small States Institute and London: Commonwealth Secretariat.
- Jayaraman, T.K. (2004). "Coping with Vulnerability in Building Resilience: A Study of Vanuatu", Chapter 7, in L. Briguglio and E. Kisanga (eds.). *Economic Vulnerability and Resilience of Small States*, Malta and London: University of Malta and Commonwealth Secretariat: 135-148.
- Jayaraman, T.K. (1998). "Vanuatu's Offshore Financial Centre and its Impact on Growth", Michael Bowe, et.al. (ed.), *Banking and Finance in Islands and Small States*, London: Cassells: 103-133.
- Jayaraman, T.K. (1997). "Fiscal Imbalances and Real Exchange Rate Movements in Fiji", *Asia-Pacific Development Journal*, 4(1): 83-103.
- Jayaraman, T.K. (1995). *Fiscal Deficits and Current Account Imbalances of Vanuatu: 1980-1995*, Occasional Paper No.14, Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- Johansen, S (1988). "Statistical Analysis of Cointegration Vectors", *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 12:231-254.
- Johansen, S (1991). "Estimation and Hypothesis Testing of Cointegration Vectors in Gaussian Vector Autoregressive Models", *Econometrica* 59:1551-1580.

- Johansen S, Juselius K (1990). "Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration with Applications for the Demand for Money", *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 52:169–210.
- Johnson, H.G. (1972). "Monetary Approach to Balance of Payments Theory", *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 7(2): 1555-1572.
- Khalid, A.M (1996) "Ricardian Equivalence: Empirical Evidence from Developing Economies". *Journal of Development Economics* 54:413–432.
- Khalid A.M. and T.W. Guan (1999). "Causality Tests of Budget and Current Account Deficits: Cross Country Comparisons: *Empirical Economics*, 24(3): 389-403.
- Kouassi, E., M. Mougoue, and K.O. Kymn, (2004). "Causality Tests of the Relationship between the Twin Deficits" *Empirical Economics*, 29, 503-525.
- Kwiatkowski, D., P.C.B. Phillips, P. Schmidt, and Y. Shin, (1992). "Testing the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity against the Alternative of a Unit Root". *Journal of Econometrics*, 54, 159-178.
- Laney (1984). "The Strong Dollar, the Current Account and the Federal Deficits: Cause and Effect, *Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas Economic Review*, 1-14.
- Narayan, P.K. (2005). "The Saving and Investment Nexus for China: Evidence from Cointegration tests", *Applied Economics*, 37:1979-90.
- Normandin, M. (1994). *Budget Deficit Persistence and the Twin deficits Hypothesis, Quebec: Centre for Research on Economic Fluctuations and Employment, University of Quebec.*
- Ng, S. and Perron, P. (2001). "Lag length selection and the construction of unit root tests with good size and power", *Econometrica*, Vol. 69, pp.1519–1554.
- Onafowara, O.A. and O. Owoye (2006). "An Empirical Investigation of Budget and Trade Deficits: the case of Nigeria", *The Journal of Developing Areas*. 39(2): 153-174.
- Pesaran, M.H., Shin, Y., and Smith R., (2001). "Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships", *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 16(3): 289-326.
- Sugden, C. and O.Tevi (2004). "Vanuatu's Search for Growth", *Pacific Economic Bulletin*, 19(3): 1-21.
- Tanner E, Liu P (1994). "Is the budget deficit 'too large'? Some further evidence". *Economic Inquiry* 32:511–518.

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (2004).
Economic and Social Survey 2004, Bangkok: UNESCAP.

United Nations Office of the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries
(2006). <http://www.un.org/special-rep/ohrls/ldc/list.htm>, Accessed on September
10, 2006.

Williamson, J. (1994) (ed.), Estimating Equilibrium Exchange Rates, Washington, D.C.:
Institute for International Economics.

Yari, M (2003). "Export Diversification in Pacific Island Countries", Bulletin on Asia-
Pacific Perspectives, 2002-03: 101-111.

Recent Working Papers

2008/wp

18. T.K.Jayaraman and Chee-Keong Choong, Channels of Monetary policy Transmission mechanism in pacific island countries: A case study of Fiji: 1970-2006.
17. T.K.Jayaraman and Chee-Keong Choong, Impact of high oil price on Economic Growth in small Pacific island countries.
16. T.K. Jayaraman and Evan Lau, Causal Relationships between current account Imbalances and budget deficits in Pacific island countries: A panel Cointegration Study.
15. T.K. Jayaraman, Do Macroeconomic fundamentals influence external current Account balances?.
14. T.K. Jayaraman and Chee-Keong Choong, Is Fiji's Real Exchange Rate Misaligned.
13. T.K.Jayaraman, Chee-Keong and Siong-Hook Law, Is Twin deficit hypothesis in Pacific Island Countries valid? An Empirical Investigation.
12. Tauisi Taupo, Estimating the production function for Fiji.
11. Tauisi Taupo, Estimating demand for money in Philippines.
10. Filipo Tokalau, The Road that is; for whom and why: Impacts of tourism Infrastructural development on Korotogo Village, Fiji islands.
9. Mahendra Reddy, Sequential Probit modeling of the determinants of child Labour: Is it a case of luxury, distributional or Substitution Axiom?
8. Neelesh Gounder, Mahendra Reddy and Biman C. Prasad Support for Democracy in the Fiji Islands: Does Schooling matter?
7. Sunil Kumar Fiji's declining formal sector economy: Is the informal sector an answer to the declining economy and social security?
6. T K Jayaraman and Evan Lau Does External Debt Lead to Economic Growth in the Pacific Island Countries: An Empirical Study
5. Gyaneshwar Rao The Relationship between Crude and Refined Product Market: The Case of Singapore Gasoline Market using MOPS Data
4. Bill B Rao and Saten Kumar A Panel Data Approach to the Demand for Money and the Effects of Financial Reforms in the Asian Countries
3. Bill B Rao and Rup Singh Contribution of Trade Openness to Growth in East Asia: A Panel Data Approach
2. Bill B Rao, Rup Singh and Saten Kumar Do We Need Time Series Econometrics?
1. Rup Singh and Biman C Prasad Small States Big Problems Small Solutions from Big Countries

2007/wp:

24. Biman C Prasad Changing Trade Regimes and Fiji's Sugar Industry: Has the Time Run-out for Reform or is there a Plan and Political Will to Sustain it?
23. B Bhaskara Rao and Rup Singh Effects of Trade Openness on the Steady State Growth Rates of Selected Asian Countries with an Extended Exogenous Growth Model

- 22 T K Jayaraman and Jauhari Dahalan How Does Monetary Policy Transmission Mechanism Work in Samoa?
- 21 T K Jayaraman and Chee-Keong Choong More on “Shocking Aspects” of A Single Currency For Pacific Island Countries: A Revisit
- 20 Biman C Prasad Economic Integration and Labour Mobility: Are Australia and New Zealand Short-Changing Pacific Forum Island Countries?
- 19 T K Jayaraman and C K Choong Monetary Policy Transmission Mechanism In The Pacific Islands: Evidence From Fiji
18. K L Sharma High-Value Agricultural Products of The Fiji Islands: Performance, Constraints And Opportunities
- 17 Saten Kumar Income and Price Elasticities of Exports in Philippines
16. Saten Kumar Determinants of Real Private Consumption in Bangladesh
15. K.L Sharma Public Sector Downsizing in the Cook Islands: Some Experience and Lessons
14. Rup Singh and B C Prasad Do Small States Require Special Attention or Trade Openness Pays-off
13. Rup Singh Growth Trends and Development Issues in the Republic of Marshall Islands
12. B. Bhaskara Rao and G Rao Structural Breaks and Energy Efficiency in Fiji
11. Rup Singh Testing for Multiple Endogenous Breaks in the Long Run Money Demand Relation in India
10. B.B Rao, Rukimini Gounder and Josef Leoning The Level And Growth Effects in the Empirics of Economic Growth: Some Results With Data From Guatemala
9. B. Bhaskara Rao and K.L Sharma Testing the Permanent Income Hypothesis in the Developing and Developed Countries: A Comparison Between Fiji and Australia.
8. T. K Jayaraman and Chee K Choong Do Fiscal Deficits Cause Current Account Deficits In The Pacific Island Countries? A Case Study Of Fiji
7. Neelesh Gounder and Mahendra Reddy Determining the Quality of Life of Temporary Migrants using Ordered Probit Model.
6. T K Jayaraman Fiscal Performance and Adjustment in the Pacific Island Countries: A Review
5. Yenteshwar Ram and Biman C Prasad Assessing Fiji' Global Trade Potential Using the Gravity Model Approach
4. Sanjesh Kumar and Biman C Prasad Contributions of Exports of Services Towards Fiji's Output
3. Paresh Kumar Narayan, Seema Narayan, Biman Chand Prasad and Arti Prasad Tourism and Economic Growth: a Panel Data Analysis for Pacific Island Countries
2. T.K. Jayaraman and Chee-Keong Choong - Will External Borrowing Help Fiji's Growth.
1. Arti Prasad Paresh Kumar Narayan and Biman Chand Prasad- A Proposal for Personal Income Tax Reform For The Fiji Islands

2006/wp:

34. Paresh K Narayan and Arti Prasad - Modelling Fiji-US Exchange Rate Volatility.
33. T.K. Jayaraman and Chee-Keong Choong - Why is the Fiji Dollar Under Pressure?

32. T.K. Jayaraman and Baljeet Singh -Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Employment in Pacific Island Countries: An Empirical Study of Fiji
31. B. Bhaskara Rao and Toani B Takirua - The Effects of Exports, Aid and Remittances on Output: The Case of Kiribati
30. B. Bhaskara Rao and Saten Kumar Cointegration, Structural Breaks and the Demand for Money in Bangladesh
29. Mahendra Reddy Productivity and Efficiency Analysis of Fiji's Sugar Industry
28. Mahendra Reddy Preferential Price and Trade Tied Aid: Implications on Price Stability, Certainty and Output Supply of Fiji's Sugarcane
27. Maheshwar Rao Challenges and Issues in Pro-Poor Tourism in South Pacific Island Countries: The Case of Fiji Islands
26. TK Jayaraman and Chee-Keong Choong Structural Breaks and the Demand for Money in Fiji
25. B. Bhaskara Rao and Saten Kumar Structural Breaks and the Demand for Money in Fiji
24. Mahendra Reddy Determinants of Public Support for Water Supply Reforms in a Small Developing Economy.
23. Mahendra Reddy Internal Migration in Fiji: Causes, Issues and Challenges
22. Mahendra Reddy and Bhuaneshwari Reddy Analyzing Wage Differential by Gender Using an Earnings Function Approach: Further Evidence from a Small Developing Economy.
21. Biman C. Prasad Trade: "WTO DOHA Round: An Opportunity or a Mirage for Fiji.
20. Benedict Y. Imbun Review of Labour Laws in Papua New Guinea
19. Benedict Y. Imbun Review of Labour Laws in Solomon Islands
18. Rup Singh Cointegration Tests on Trade Equation: Is Devaluation an Option for Fiji?
17. Ganesh Chand Employment Relations Bill: An Analysis.
16. TK Jayaraman and Chee-Keong Choong Public Debt and Economic Growth in the South Pacific Islands: A Case Study of Fiji
15. TK Jayaraman and Chee-Keong Choong Aid and Economic Growth in Pacific Islands: An Empirical Study of Aid Effectiveness in Fiji.
14. Rup Singh A Macroeconometric Model for Fiji.
13. Rup Singh and Saten Kumar Private Investment in Selected Asian Countries.
12. Ganesh Chand The Labour Market and Labour Market Laws in Fiji
11. Carmen V-Graf Analysis of Skilled Employment Demand and Opportunities in the Pacific Labour Market
10. Philip Szmedra, Kanhaiya L Sharma and Cathy L Rozmus Health Status, Health Perceptions and Health Risks Among Outpatients with Non-communicable Diseases in Three Developing Pacific Island Nations
9. Heather Booth, Guangyu Zhang, Maheshwar Rao, Fakavae Taomia and Ron Duncan Population Pressures in Papua New Guinea, the Pacific Island Economies, and Timor Leste
8. Mahendra Reddy Technical efficiency in Artisanal Fisheries: Evidence from a Developing Country.
7. Pares K Narayan and Biman C Prasad Macroeconomic Impact of the Informal Sector in Fiji

6. Biman C Prasad Resolving The Agricultural Land Lease Problem in The Fiji Islands; Current Discussions and The Way Forward.
5. Rup Singh & Saten Kumar Demand For Money in Developing Countries: Alternative Estimates and Policy Implications
 4. B. Bhaskara Rao, Rup Singh & Fozia Nisha, An Extension to the Neoclassical Growth Model to Estimate Growth and Level effects.
3. Rup Singh & Saten Kumar, Cointegration and Demand for Money in the Selected Pacific Island Countries.
2. B. Bhaskara Rao & Rup Singh, Estimating Export Equations.
1. Rup Singh, An Investment Equation for Fiji

2005/wp:

- 27 Neelesh Gounder & Biman C. Prasad & Biman C. Prasad What Does Affirmative Action Affirm: An Analysis of the Affirmative Action Programmes for Development in the Fiji Islands
- 26 B. Bhaskara Rao, Fozia Nisha & Biman C. Prasad The Effects of Life Expectancy on Growth
- 25 B. Bhaskara Rao, Rup Singh, & Neelesh Gounder, Investment Ratio in Growth Equations
- 24 T.K. Jayaraman, Regional Economic Integration in the Pacific: An Empirical Study

- 23 B. Bhaskara Rao & Maheshwar Rao, Determinants of Growth Rate: Some Methodological Issues with Time Series Data from Fiji
 - 22 Sukhdev Shah, Exchange Rate Targeting of Monetary Policy
 - 21 Paresh Narayan and Baljeet Singh, Modeling the Relationship between Defense Spending and Economic Growth for the Fiji Islands
 - 20 TK Jayaraman, Macroeconomics Aspects of Resilience Building in Small States
 - 19 TK Jayaraman, Some “Shocking Aspects” of a Regional Currency for the Pacific Islands.
 - 18 Bimal B. Singh and Biman C. Prasad, Employment-Economic Growth Nexus and Poverty Reduction: An Empirical Study Based on the East Asia and the Pacific Region
 - 17 Biman C. Prasad and Azmat Gani, Savings and Investment Links in Selected Pacific Island Countries
 - 16 T.K. Jayaraman, Regional Integration in the Pacific.
 - 15 B. Bhaskara Rao, Estimating Short and Long Run Relationships: A Guide to the Applied Economist.
 - 14 Philip Szmedra, KL Sharma, and Cathy L. Rozmus, Managing Lifestyle Illnesses in Pacific Island States: The Case of Fiji, Nauru and Kiribati.
 - 13 Philip Szmedra and KL Sharma, Lifestyle Diseases and Economic Development: The Case of Nauru and Kiribati
 - 12 Neelesh Gounder, Rural Urban Migration in Fiji: Causes and Consequences
 - 11 B. Bhaskara & Gyaneshwar Rao, Further Evidence on Asymmetric US Gasoline Price Responses
 - 10 B. Bhaskara Rao & Rup Singh, Demand for Money for Fiji with PC GETS
 - 9 B. Bhaskara Rao & Gyaneshwar Rao, Crude Oil and Gasoline Prices in Fiji: Is the Relationship Asymmetric?
 - 8 Azmat Gani & Biman C. Prasad, Fiji’s Export and Comparative Advantage.
 - 7 Biman C. Prasad & Paresh K Narayan, Contribution of the Rice Industry to Fiji’s Economy: Implication of a Plan to Increase Rice Production
 - 6 Azmat Gani, Foreign Direct Investment and Privatization.
 - 5 G. Rao, Fuel Pricing In Fiji.
 - 4 K. Bunyaratavej & Tk Jayaraman, A Common Currency For The Pacific Region: A Feasibility Study.
 - 3 Sukhdev Shah, Kiribati’s Development: Review And Outlook.
 - 2 T.K. Jayaraman, B.D. Ward, Z.L. Xu, Are the Pacific Islands Ready for a Currency Union? An Empirical Study of Degree of Economic Convergence

 - 1 T.K. Jayaraman, Dollarisation Of The South Pacific Island Countries: Results Of A Preliminary Study
- 2004/wp:**
- 15 Vincent D. Nomae, Andrew Manepora’a, Sunil Kumar & Biman C. Prasad, Poverty Amongst Minority Melanesians In Fiji: A Case Study Of Six Settlement
 - Elena Tapuaiga & Umesh Chand, Trade Liberalization: Prospects and Problems for Small Developing South Pacific Island Economies

- 13 Paresh K. Narayan, Seema Narayan & Biman C. Prasad, Forecasting Fiji's Exports and Imports, 2003-2020
- 12 Paresh K. Narayan & Biman C. Prasad, Economic Importance of the Sugar Industry in Fiji: Simulating the Impact of a 30 Percent Decline in Sugar Production..
- 11 B. Bhaskara Rao & Rup Singh, A Cointegration and Error Correction Approach to Demand for Money in Fiji: 1971-2002.
- 10 Khainhaiya L. Sharma, Growth, Inequality and Poverty in Fiji Islands: Institutional Constraints and Issues.
- 9 B. Bhaskara Rao, Testing Hall's Permanent Income Hypothesis for a Developing Country: The Case of Fiji.
- 8 Azmat Gani, Financial Factors and Investment: The Case of Emerging Market Economies.
- 7 B. Bhaskara Rao, The Relationship Between Growth and Investment.
- 6 Wadan Narsey, PICTA, PACER and EPAs: Where are we going? Tales of FAGS, BOOZE and RUGBY
- 5 Paresh K. Narayan & Biman C. Prasad, Forecasting Fiji's Gross Domestic Product, 2002-2010.
- 4 Michael Luzius, Fiji's Furniture and Joinery Industry: A Case Study.
- 3 B. Bhaskara Rao & Rup Singh, A Consumption Function for Fiji.
- 2 Ashok Parikh & B. Bhaskara Rao, Do Fiscal Deficits Influence Current Accounts? A Case Study of India.
- 1 Paresh K. Narayan & Biman C. Prasad, The Casual Nexus Between GDP, Democracy and Labour Force in Fiji: A Bootstrap Approach.

2003/wp:

- 11 B. Bhaskara Rao & Rup Singh, Demand For Money in India: 1953-2002.
- 10 Biman C. Prasad & Paresh K. Narayan, Fiji Sugar Corporation's Profitability and Sugar Cane Production: An Econometric Investigation, 1972-2000.
- 9 B. Bhaskara Rao, The Nature of The ADAS Model Based on the ISLM Model.
- 8 Azmat Gani, High Technology Exports and Growth – Evidence from Technological Leader and Potential Leader Category of Countries.
- 7 TK Jayaraman & BD Ward, Efficiency of Investment in Fiji: Results of an Empirical Study.
- 6 Ravinder Batta, Measuring Economic Impacts of Nature Tourism.
- 5 Ravinder Batta, Ecotourism and Sustainability.
- 4 TK Jayaraman & Rajesh Sharma, Determinants of Interest Rate Spread in the Pacific Island Countries: Some Evidence From Fiji.
- 3 T.K. Jayaraman & B.D. Ward, Is Money Multiplier Relevant in a Small, Open Economy? Empirical Evidence from Fiji.
- 2 Jon Fraenkel, The Coming Anarchy in Oceania? A Critique of the 'Africanisation' of the South Pacific Thesis.
- 1 T.K. Jayaraman, A Single Currency for the South Pacific Island Islands: A Dream or A Distant Possibility?

